

Senate Agenda
September 25, 2006
Academic Council Room- 4th Green Hall
6:00 PM

I. Roll Call

II. Old Business

- A. Yang Qiu – College Government Vice President: New Organization Approval (5 min, 3 min ?s)

III. New Business

- A. Shayla Adams – MAC: Changes to CWDI constitution. (5 min, 3 min ?s)
B. Emily Oxford – CGP: Presentation of Democrat/Republican Senator Petitions (5 min, 3 min ?s)

IV. Open Discussion

- A. Heather Woods – Project Manager; IS : Course Reserves and Clapp Library Reserve Changes . (12 min, 8 min ?s)
B. Tiffany Sirc – Chief Justice : State of the Honor Code (10 min, 5 min ?s)

- V. **Dean’s Corner:** Dean Michelle Lepore and Dean Kim Goff-Crews (12 min, 5 min ?s)

- VI. **Beyond the Bubble:** Malina Swiatek – CPLA Chair (5 min)

VII. Adjourn

Estimated Time: 1 hour 40 minutes

Emily Oxford, College Government President (CGP): Before we get started, I just want to draw your attention to our agenda for today. Without further ado, we will go on to the Old Business section. Since we have a quorum, so will Yang Qiu, College Government Vice President, provide any needed clarifications before we vote on constituting orgs from last spring.

Yang Qiu, College Government Vice President (CGVP): Just to clarify, we will look at the process SOAC went through last spring to constitute the 153 organizations on campus last

spring. There should be a list of the orgs in the Resident Halls and on the Senate Conference. They all turned in Reactivation Forms last spring.

Sara Dickhaus, Claflin: What would happen if we don't approve the process?

Yang, CGVP: If this is not approved, the process is flawed, so we will have to go back. These orgs won't receive any recognition, and we will have to go back and remake the procedure correctly and then come back and have you approve the process again. If we don't approve today, these orgs will not be constituted. In order to avoid this, we welcome any suggestions and questions throughout the year, so we can modify the process along the way instead of having problems when orgs are reactivated.

Helen Park, Munger: You said we were voting in our House Council for the process, and I just wanted to know the process because I don't think it was said in detail.

Yang, CGVP: I will clarify again. All the orgs I see on this list were constituted and recognized by the college last year. They had to fill out the Reactivation Form by a certain deadline last spring, and the President and Treasurer had to sign their names on that paper. That form tells us the organization has a continued interest and that there are people who will keep the org active for the next year. We take all those forms and compile those forms to a list and it was published this June.

Cortni Jones, Student-at-Large: I move to approve SOAC's use of the reactivation process.

Emily Oxford, CGP: The motion on the floor is to approve the SOAC process of reactivation. The motion carries. Now, moving to New Business, we have Miss Shayla Adams, the College Government Multicultural and Affairs Coordinator, to talk about Constitutional changes.

Shayla Adams, Multicultural Affairs Coordinator (MAC): Hello, everyone. I am here to present a change in the CWDI (Campus-Wide Diversity Initiative) constitution. We are basically changing the name of MAC-OT (Multicultural Affairs Coordinator's Organizing Team) to CWDI Executive Board ("E-Board") to get rid of all the different and confusing acronyms. It is in the CWDI constitution that MAC-OT serves as governing board for CWDI, so we are changing the official name to CWDI E-Board.

Cortni Jones, Student Bursar: Will this affect any other Wellesley College legislation?

Shayla Adams, MAC: Not that I know of, because MAC-OT is not SOAC-constituted and is only mentioned in the CWDI constitution.

Emily Oxford, CGP: We will be voting on this next week so please take information that the constitution for CWDI is changing and take a vote within your constituency. Please look over the changes. Shayla saw an opportunity to make her organization better so I hope you support her in that. We will be voting whether or not to approve this change in the CWDI constitution next week in Senate.

Emily Oxford, CGP: Next on New Business is me. This is really exciting because last year the Democrats and Republicans moved to try and get Senators for their organization on campus. I have the page here from the CG Constitution that says how to allow a new organization to have a senator. Last semester both organizations had representatives in Senate. Then senate approved their movement towards getting a senator, which they did, and then the organizations had to have 350 signatures presented to me so that I can present them to you. Today we will talk about this process and next week you will vote on behalf of your constituency. Now I will open it up to the Speakers' List to see if anyone needs further clarifications or questions about the process.

Siobhan O'Keefe, Beebe: What are we voting on, to change the process or how the process worked in this instance?

Emily Oxford, CGP: We are at the point right now where the Democrats and Republicans have done what they need to do. Now I am presenting this to you to take back to your constituencies to approve the Senator Positions. As in, should the Democrats and Republicans each have a Senator?

Cortni Jones, Student-at-Large: Are we voting on each organization separately or en bloc?

Emily Oxford, CGP: This depends on the motions that are made to do the voting.

Victoria Starret, Severance: Do the other minority representatives already have a vote and now the Democrats and Republicans want one?

Emily Oxford, CGP: Yes, the other organizations already have a senator and have gone through this process to get a vote in Senate. Any special interest or minority group can go through this process and get a Senator of their own.

Jeanne Amy, Student-at-Large: In this case, are we voting on the position of the Democrats or Republicans having a place in Senate, or are we voting on the process?

Emily Oxford, CGP: We are voting on whether they should have a senator – our vote next week represents whether College Government supports the Democrats and Republicans move to get a Senator.

Yang Qiu, CGVP: If we approve these new organizations, is that a change in the CG constitution?

Emily Oxford, CGP: Yes, they will be changed in the living document of the CG constitution. The constitution needs to reflect all changes voted in at Senate.

Tiffany Sirc, Student-at-Large: If these two senators get a senator, would that be forever or would it be rescinded in the future?

Emily Oxford, CGP: Their trial period was with their senate representative last semester. And now we are voting on whether they will have a senator from now on.

Cortni Jones, Student-at-Large: I move to approve College Democrats and College Republicans Senators en bloc.

Emily Oxford, CGP: The motion is to approve the Senators en bloc. Go back to your constituencies and get the vote there and we will approve it or not next Monday. As always, contact Liz or me with any questions about the voting. Next, in open discussion, we have Heather Woods to talk about Course Reserves and Clapp Library.

Heather Woods, Project Manager for Information Services: Thanks for inviting me. We are happy to talk about a lot of changes in Information Services (IS) throughout the fall. I am going to start with other changes we have undergone, and then touch on Course Reserves. One of the things that has started this fall is the lease of a software called EndNote. It is used for bibliographic work. It will help you cite things and also help you import online references. It is already available to install on your own computers – it is not a keyed application and the instructions for using it and downloading it are on the Computing Software webpage.

With the addition of the lounge on the first floor, we expanded Computing first aid to that lounge Monday – Thursday 7 PM-9 PM. Nothing has changed with in-room services so you can always get help through your ResNet Computer Consultants (RCC).

Food and drink policy is slightly shifting because of the new lounge. The lounge has tables, chairs, vending machines, coffee machine, large TV, and we are now letting you bring food into the library and you can eat it in that lounge.

Also, in Clapp, the individual and group studies have been updated and renovated. There are no keys involved, but if you would like them just walk in. They are first come, first served and they have good wireless. We have three good rooms in Knapp as well.

The Knapp Center has had a few changes. There are the Knapp Multimedia Project Specialists, who help with planning and troubleshooting with any projects you have assigned. You can make use of them any time you need them. They are at the Knapp Desk, Sunday to Thursday from 8 PM - midnight. The Knapp consultants are there all day and they can help you with troubleshooting while these new folks can help with more in-depth things.

In Knapp, we have expanded the use of laptops for reserve for short-term loans. Information Technology (IT) can be checked in and out whenever the library is open.

We were also testing the use of the Windows classroom on the first floor of Knapp and it will be open after 6:30 on the evenings and all day on the weekend. These computers are hooked up to the printers in the Knapp and in that room.

There are a few changes for course reserves. First thing that was changed, all things not on reserve were returned to the stacks. Most that remained on reserve have been moved around the corner in Knapp to open, browsable stacks, where all books have red stickers on the spine. All library items can be checked out both upstairs and downstairs, so now you don't have to go to

one desk or the other. First, this expands access to the materials, and also it allows us to have more services at the circulation desks. We returned 7,000 materials to the stacks, and it was a big problem for access. Now the only things on reserve are things that were actively requested by your professors for this semester. 2200 items were moved to those shelves out of the main stacks as well. This allows both desks to check out materials and now you don't have to work on two floors to do research. Now relocating the material has also opened up the access to the information.

The old stacks were not as functional as we wanted - we wanted everyone to be able to access these materials. Like E-Reserves, moving this material out of closed stacks has made it more available to everyone. Not everyone had easy access to material that might relate to their topic, but students couldn't browse through to see if other materials related. Being able to browse materials is a great benefit for research. Now you can check out more than one item at a time. If you want to use two items at once, you can. It also allows you to browse materials without having to check them out and then see if you want to use it. You can look at it there for more time than it would have been in the old system. All the materials in the section can be checked out in the same policy that we had before. All VHS and DVD videos are in security cases so you must check them out to view them. So the material in this section can be used inside the library without having to check it out. Material can be used in the library as long as it needs to be used. You no longer have to check out twice for 3.5 hours of reading, or check out for 5 minutes. There are still materials that are behind the desk. Copies of materials that belong to Faculty members live behind the desk. Movies reserved for in-class showings are behind the desk. Audio CDs and Laser Disks are behind the desk as well.

There have been people all over the first and other floors of the library, working with reserve books. People are sharing them; the books are being reshelved. There have been fewer complaints this semester than ever before. We are in daily contact with staff and students at both circulation desks and we are dealing with concerns immediately. We are responding to all email directly - I have only received five emails this year. We have also been helping all students with any questions. Missing items that have turned up - we have had far fewer this year than last year. If you can't find a book., come ask for help. If we can't help you, we will track books and see if there are ways to get this resolved by working with faculty and the library staff. I'm continuing to collect feedback throughout the year, and I hope folks continue to bring it to us. I hope you contact me, email is best, but also talk to any students and faculty members with questions.

Krystal Walker, Cazenove: What is the name of the new bibliography system?

Heather: EndNote.

Cortni Jones, Student Bursar: I was at an institution this summer that worked with self-checkout, open reserves. Is there money to buy extra copies of books that may be located throughout the library and not be available?

Heather: We are actively looking for materials more than once an hour. Since I've been here at Wellesley, classes that are in high demand already require more than one copy, so if there are 15

students or more in a course, there are multiple copies. We order materials based on 15 students per copy of book.

Yang Qui, CGVP: It wasn't clear about how the books are sorted. Are they sorted by courses or by type or category on the browsable shelves?

Heather: The material that is out in the browsable section is that they are located by the call numbers from the Library of Congress. They are in call-number order but with folio and quarter things placed into the stacks. If you look up the letter and you then look at numbers, then any of us can walk you through this.

Shayla Adams, MAC: What effect should this have on student jobs?

Heather: We have made no changes to the number of jobs. Students are taking on different kinds of tasks: working with the equipment, helping more with students, etc.

Paola Reyes, Munger: I have wondered if you have ever thought about removing the need to use a professor's signature to check out equipment: what if it is urgent?

Heather: You can talk to anyone on staff who can vouch for you – RD, custodian, anyone who knows you. They can send an email to a conference called “Knapp Reserves” and the person doesn't even need to be on campus, but we'll consider that. We have and we will keep doing so.

Tiffany Sirc, Student-at-Large: I was just wondering about the process for Student Orgs for checking out cameras and computers?

Heather: There has to be one person in the group who has the permission. And on the room booking form, you might request something, and then the media services staff will follow up, but the students who make requests must contact the Knapp and take care of the situation.

Elaine Skowronski, Student-at-Large: I was curious if there were students involved in developing this process and are students involved in the assessment of this process.

Heather: We are conducting assessment continually. Please have anyone talk to me or ask us as much as possible. The original committee did not have students, but had very recent alums from 2005 and 2006.

Victoria Charoonaratana, Davis: What step will you take if a small group of students are monopolizing books?

Heather: First, we can send folks around the library to look for materials while they are in use – the red tags identify them. Second, we have great partnerships with faculty, and when we've had problems, we've contacted the professor and the faculty members have been happy to intervene on our behalf so all info is available for students.

Emily: Please write any contacts down on paper if your thoughts were not addressed. I am really excited about the next portion of our meeting in which we will hear about the annual State of the Honor Code from Tiffany Sirc, our Chief Justice.

Tiffany: Good evening! As many of you know, my name is Tiffany Sirc and I'm the Chief Justice. The Honor Code was established in 1919 as an agreement between students and faculty regarding the acceptable code of conduct. General Judiciary has twelve student members that are appointed through the SOAC (Student Organizations and Appointments Committee) process and nine faculty members that are assigned to the committee through Academic Council. Dean Kim and Dean Shennan are also members of the committee; Dean Kim sits on the initial hearing panels and Dean Shennan sits on the Review Board which hears appeals. The purpose of General Judiciary is to educate the community on the Honor Code as well as adjudicate alleged Honor Code violations. I am here tonight to report to the community about the standing of the Honor Code and the hearings of the 05-06 academic year.

In total, there were twenty-two charges referred to General Judiciary and twenty-four individuals involved. Four violations were of a social nature while seventeen were academic. During one hearing General Judiciary found no violation to have occurred. Of the academic violations, ten involved plagiarism, six involved cheating on an exam, and two involved unauthorized collaboration. Before I have questions regarding my abilities at simple arithmetic, some of the hearings involved more than one of these *broadly* defined offenses and were therefore counted in more than one category, which is why the numbers I just gave you don't add up to seventeen. Additionally, we had only one appeal.

Sanctions are both punitive and educational. The punitive portion of academic violations ranged from a letter of apology to dismissal. The educational aspect of sanctions for academic violations often include meeting with the student's Class Dean for regular check-ups, PLTC time management instruction, and Writing Program citation training. Socially based violation sanctions ranged from reflection papers to a prescribed number of community service hours.

So, how do we compare to past years? Well, in the short term we look pretty good. There were thirty-two hearings in both the 04-05 academic year as well as for the 03-04 academic year. However, when looking at the long term we have significantly higher numbers. In 1997-1998 there were six charges referred to hearing panels and five for the 1998-1999 academic year. There is a definite correlation with the increase in Honor Code violations and the increased ease of plagiarism through electronic sources. However, this trend contributed to the formation of the Honor Code Review which improved procedures and revamped the Honor Code itself.

Also, due to changes in procedure starting in the 04-05 academic year, there is an increase in the number of recognized 'repeat offenders.' Starting in the fall of 2004, all students found in violation of the Honor Code are automatically placed on Honor Code probation for the remainder of their time at Wellesley. This means that if a student comes before General Judiciary and is found in violation of the Honor Code, the panel will be informed of prior violation(s) during sanctioning. This allows for a better-informed and effective sanction. Thus, this year there were three students with two violations and one student with three – as opposed to previous years where at most one student per academic year had a second Honor Code violation, if any.

While we want to prevent plagiarism and cheating, we are also trying to encourage students to live ethical lives both academically and otherwise. We want the philosophy behind the Honor Code to develop into a lifestyle that stays with us beyond Wellesley. If you have any questions, please go to the General Judiciary website or email me at the Chief Justice account or any of the members of General Judiciary whose names and pictures are on the website.

I hope this report provides our community with a better understanding of the current state of our Honor Code. General Judiciary is glad to see professors, students, Campus Police, and the Registrar's Office trusting the General Judiciary process to reach fair and unbiased decisions concerning alleged violations. This joint commitment is necessary in order for our Honor Code to continue to be effective while maintaining the atmosphere of trust and respect that we so highly value.

Cortni Jones, Student Bursar: I was wondering if General Judiciary, having seen the increase from five to thirty-two and now twenty-two, considers it an increase in total violations, or to professors actually reporting violations?

Tiffany: This year is a decrease from recent years, but the increase isn't necessarily an increase due to more individuals coming forward, I think part of it is more people using the system. Procedural changes that resulted from the Honor Code Review are a big part of the increase, but another large part is the Internet. In 1997, it wasn't as widely used as it is now. It is also much easier to catch plagiarism now with the Internet as well. So it is both the internet and the trust in the system.

Emily Oxford, CGP: I know we have Residence Judiciary and the drug/alcohol board, so what social violation would General Judiciary hear?

Tiffany: Social violations must be on a larger scale because Residence Judiciary takes care of small things like fire hazards, etc. Major theft in residence halls or major theft on campus comes to us as well as things dealing with identification fraud or things on first class. We don't deal with anything about drugs/alcohol. Please come to our Honor Code Week events.

Emily, CGP: This is one of the most important reports of the whole year – students have been running it, with administrative report, since 1919 and we need to embrace it and share it and love it. Next we have our Dean of Student's corner.

Kim Goff-Crews, Dean of Students: We have a few announcements – don't worry, we're working on keeping it short this year. If you're a first year, you need to choose your first-year advisor by Oct 15. Another reminder, our new Athletic Director asked us to support our teams, and if you could just go to one sports event a semester, please do so and show up at the athletic events and support the teams.

Michelle Lepore, Associate Dean of Students: Also, we will back to talk about residence judiciary and since we no longer have bells in place, we will be evaluating what role this plays today and they will report back. Yang and I are training student reps for the students who sit on

important committees throughout the campus. They are on these committees to provide information back from the general student body so they will be back in Senate to talk about what they're doing and to see what you are thinking.

Dean Kim Goff-Crews: Also, Tiffany, our Chief Justice, has been doing an amazing job. Chief Justice is a hard job with lots of behind the scenes work.

Lena Glaser, McAfee: How do you choose your first year advisor?

Dean Kim Goff-Crews: I would start with someone who you have in one of your classes and then approach them and ask if they would be your advisor. You should find out who you want to work with and then go and ask them if they would like to do it.

Emily Oxford, CGP: Is there a form you need to fill out?

Dean Kim Goff-Crews: When you go to register, you will be asked if you have one. In addition, Dean Tensor's website has information about how to confirm that information for her.

Emily Oxford, CGP: Next we have Malina Swiatek, our CPLA Chair, with Beyond the Bubble.

Malina Swiatek, CPLA Chair:

**Pope Assures Ambassadors of His Respect for Muslims By IAN FISHER
NY Times**

CASTEL GANDOLFO, Italy, Sept. 25 — Pope Benedict XVI sought again on Monday to repair the church's rift with the Islamic world, telling ambassadors from 22 Muslim countries that he respected Muslims and that "interreligious and intercultural dialogue is a necessity."

In a brief meeting here that was broadcast live on the satellite network Al Jazeera, the pope did not apologize or refer directly to his speech nearly two weeks ago that set off waves of protest in Muslim countries. The speech quoted a Byzantine emperor in the 14th century as calling Islam "evil and inhuman."

"The circumstances that have given rise to our gathering are well known," he told the envoys, who were joined by representatives of Italian Muslim groups.

Rather, in a speech that lasted about five minutes, he said that he respected "Muslim believers." He said he hoped that Christians and Muslims could "work together, as indeed they already do in many common undertakings, in order to guard against all forms of intolerance and to oppose all manifestations of violence."

**A Combative Clinton Defends Record on Fighting Terrorism
Former President Faults Neocons for Inaction on Bin Laden
By Michael Grunwald
Washington Post Staff Writer**

Former president Bill Clinton angrily defended his administration's counterterrorism record during a Fox News interview to be aired today, while accusing "President Bush's neocons" and other Republicans of ignoring Osama bin Laden until the attacks of Sept. 11, 2001.

Clinton had planned to discuss his climate change initiative during his appearance on "Fox News Sunday," but he turned combative after host Chris Wallace asked why he hadn't "put bin Laden and al-Qaeda out of business." Clinton shot back that "all the conservative Republicans" who now criticize him for inattention to bin Laden used to criticize him for over-attention to bin Laden.

Clinton said he authorized the CIA to kill bin Laden, and even "contracted with people to kill him."

Homeland Security Bill Is More Style Than Substance, Analysts Say

By Spencer S. Hsu

Washington Post Staff Writer

Eager to showcase fresh votes on national security before the fall elections, Congress has loaded a \$34 billion homeland security spending bill with measures to beef up defenses at the nation's borders, ports and chemical plants and to revamp its disaster management.

The action comes as Republicans try to galvanize voters by focusing on illegal immigration, while Democrats contend that GOP inaction or poor oversight has made the nation less safe since the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks.

With few exceptions, however, the measures are less sweeping than they appear, analysts said. Watered down to avoid controversy or budget-breaking costs, several of the measures also run counter to the wishes of Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff, reflecting how politics trumps policy come election time, they said.

Logan traffic rises from nuisance to election issue

Boston Globe

When Lieutenant Governor Kerry M. Healey unveiled a list Wednesday of 50 big ideas she'd pursue as governor, there at number 44 was creating a "cellphone parking lot" at the airport. About 30 US airports have such lots, where motorists awaiting an arriving passenger can park for free, then drive to the terminal curb after their party has arrived and called them.

"Just about everyone who has headed over to Logan Airport," Healey said, "has a story about endlessly circling the terminal while waiting to pick up a passenger" whose flight or bags were delayed. That creates not only hassles but congestion and potential security risks, Healey said. (Maybe since her running mate, Reed Hillman, used to be in charge of the State Police, she left out: Motorists getting yelled at by troopers for idling.)

Aides to Democratic nominee Deval L. Patrick had no comment on the plan. But Nicole Nionakis, spokeswoman for independent Christy Mihos, kicked it to the curb, terming it "small-minded and trivial. There are much bigger issues of concern to voters in Massachusetts."

Cable access experiences growing pains
By Barbara Lehmann/ Townsman Staff
Thursday, September 21, 2006

Since July 1, Wellesley cable TV subscribers have seen their monthly bill increase with the addition of an access fee to support the Wellesley Channel, the town's public, educational and governmental access studio. At the same time, the number of programs offered on the channel and the consistency of the programming has declined. What happened?

The three full-time staff, all Comcast employees, were gone by the time James Joyce, the station's new executive director, came on board in mid-July. When Comcast left, it deleted all the files, Joyce said. "No media files were left, some of the hardware pieces were taken and the final cut system had been completely erased." There were no documents and no logs for the archived footage, he said, so "we're building up from scratch."

"[Joyce] has been putting in a yeoman's service, but he was all by himself, Kasper said. Board members chipped in to help, and we've made a tremendous amount of progress."

"Basically, what happened is that the previous group just left things in a mess and didn't assist with the transition," said Daniel Kasper, chairman of the Wellesley Cable Access Corporation, the nonprofit that now oversees the public access studio.

The three full-time staff, all Comcast employees, were gone by the time James Joyce, the station's new executive director, came on board in mid-July. When Comcast left, it deleted all the files, Joyce said. "No media files were left, some of the hardware pieces were taken and the final cut system had been completely erased." There were no documents and no logs for the archived footage, he said, so "we're building up from scratch."

"[Joyce] has been putting in a yeoman's service, but he was all by himself, Kasper said. Board members chipped in to help, and we've made a tremendous amount of progress."

Emily Oxford, CGP: Finally, we have Cabinet Announcements.

Yang Qiu, CGVP: SOAC is doing many things: we are interviewing all candidates for Academic Council Committees. Please forward the flyers because we have two more rounds to interview. Also, tonight we will have a special meeting – we are approving new organizations.

Tiffany Sirc, Chief Justice: This week is Honor Code Week – what are we doing? We have first year follow-ups and then on Wednesday night, we have a movie at 7:00, location TBA. You can

also pick up the Wellesley News to get your precedent. Also, after Senate, please reaffirm your love of the Honor Code and sign the Honor Code Book.

Cortni Jones, Student Bursar: At the SOFC meeting tonight, we will be doing Emergency Funding. Lecture Funding is due October 1st by 5:00 PM – this is one of five deadlines. Everyone needs to get on their horse and apply for this. Any and all questions should be emailed to the Treasurer's conference. Other than that, if students have concerns about office hours, or lack of understanding, please send them to Student Bursar on First Class.

Shayla Adams, MAC: So CWDI has three things this week: Wednesday at 5:00 is the General Body Meeting in Tishman Commons. Then, on Thursday at 12:30 is the first SoapBox SpeakOut – Multiculturalism vs. Diversity. Finally, on Saturday, we will have our first annual Multicultural Presidents' Lunch. And today is my birthday!

Malina Swiatek, CPLA Chair: Yo. So last week went well – our pizza lunch and voter registration were successful. No exciting events this week other than CPLA being fabulous.

Elaine Skowronski, HPC Liaison: If first-years have questions about their First Year Advisor Forms, have them ask their APTs (Academic Peer Tutors) because they are a great resource, right in your residence hall.

Paola, SPEC Chair: There will be a short SPEC meeting tonight.

Liz Marlow, Parliamentarian: I just want to remind you – please email me with any questions about voting and let me know what you need. I would love to answer any questions. Also, for clarification, a Point of Information is used WHILE a speaker is speaking. You need to ask the President to ask the speaker to allow you to ask them a question because it takes away from the speaker's presenting time. It should only be used to ask a definition of something. Otherwise, just ask a question during debate and you don't need to say "Point of Information."

Emily Oxford, CGP: I just want to recap – next week we will be voting on proposed changes to CWDI (Campus Wide Diversity Initiative) constitution. That is a vote on the change, not the process. Then we will be voting to approve or to not approve the positions for the Wellesley College Democrats and the Wellesley College Republicans. You are voting on whether you think we should make these changes – not on the process this week. By general consent, I move we adjourn this meeting.