Nitric oxide (NO) plays major roles during development and in adult organisms. We examined the temporal and spatial patterns of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) appearance in the embryonic lobster brain to localize sources of NO activity; potential NO targets were identified by defining the distribution of NO-induced cGMP. Staining patterns are compared with NOS and cyclic 3,5 guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) distribution in adult lobster brains. Manipulation of NO levels influences olfactory glomerular formation and stabilization, as well as levels of neurogenesis among the olfactory projection neurons. In the first 2 days following ablation of the lateral antennular flagella in juvenile lobsters, a wave of increased NOS immunoreactivity and a reduction in neurogenesis occur. These studies implicate nitric oxide as a developmental architect and also support a role for this molecule in the neural response to injury in the olfactory pathway. Developmental Dynamics 236:3047–3060, 2007. © 2007 Wiley-Liss, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

Nitric oxide (NO) is a diffusible gaseous signaling molecule that is produced by nitric oxide synthase (NOS) during the conversion of L-arginine to citrulline. Once present, NO is membrane-permeable and in many systems effects change by activating soluble guanylate cyclase in target cells, resulting in the production of cyclic 3,5 guanosine monophosphate (cGMP). cGMP then activates a variety of downstream pathways by means of actions, for example, on phosphodiesterases or protein kinases, ultimately evoking cellular responses. There are also cGMP-independent pathways of NO action, such as when NO interacts with metal complexes or oxygen species. However, in the nervous system of both vertebrate and invertebrate species, the cGMP-dependent actions appear to be the most prevalent. NO has been implicated in many physiological processes (e.g., vasodilation, muscle contractility, neurotrans-
mission) in a variety of organisms. The functions of NO are particularly diverse in the nervous system, but perhaps most notable is the association of NO with dynamic processes such as neuronal migration, differentiation, and synapse formation during development (Truman et al., 1996; Kuzin et al., 2000; Gibbs, 2003; Bicker, 2005), and neuronal plasticity, synaptic remodeling, and sensory processing in the mature nervous system (Chen et al., 2004; Collmann et al., 2004; Sunico et al., 2005; Moreno-Lopez and Gonzalez-Furero, 2006). NOS is concentrated in the primary olfactory centers of vertebrate and invertebrate species (Brolloet and Forstein, 1996; Gelperin et al., 1996; Fuji et al., 2002), and there is strong physiological evidence for a role of NO in odor processing (Collmann et al., 2004). Nitric oxide mechanisms also appear to be important during both developmental and adult neurogenesis, where NO can act as an antiproliferative agent in some systems (Moreno-Lopez et al., 2004; Matarr-Rodena et al., 2005; Ciani et al., 2006; Romero-Grimaldi et al., 2006; Torroglosa et al., 2007), while promoting neurogenesis in others (Zhang et al., 2001; Lu et al., 2003; Cayre et al., 2005). These dual roles may be explained by the levels of NO and the timing of synthesis (Cardenas et al., 2005).

The life-long addition of new neurons has been found in the olfactory pathway of vertebrate and invertebrate organisms (Kempermann, 2005), and of particular interest to our work, in the brains of lobsters and other crustaceans (Harzsch and Dawirs, 1996; Schmidt, 1997; Harzsch et al., 1999; Beltz and Sandeman, 2003). Neurogenesis in the crustacean brain is influenced by a variety of endogenous and exogenous factors (Beltz and Sandeman, 2003; Sullivan et al., 2007), including serotonin (Benton and Beltz, 2001a; Beltz et al., 2001). In addition to stimulating neurotransgenesis, serotonin promotes the survival and differentiation of new projection neurons in the olfactory pathway of lobsters (Sullivan et al., 2000; Beltz et al., 2001; Benton and Beltz, 2001a). A role for NO in regulating neurogenesis was suggested by preliminary studies that demonstrated the coexistence of NO with serotonin in identified neurons and synaptic regions in the lobster brain, and its presence in regions where neurons continue to proliferate throughout life (Benton and Beltz, 2001b; Beltz and Sandeman, 2003). In addition, several studies in vertebrate systems suggest an interrelationship between serotonin and NO levels (Kaehler et al., 1999; Sinner et al., 2001; Ramos et al., 2002; Tagliaferro et al., 2003).

Other neuronal functions for NO in decapod crustaceans have also been identified, including network partitioning and motor pattern selection in the stomatogastric nervous system (Scholz et al., 2001; Christie, 2003; Stein et al., 2005) and retrograde signaling in the heart (Scholz et al., 2002; Goy, 2005). A role in central nervous system development is suggested by a large number of NO-sensitive neurons found in lobster larvae, and by subsequent changes in the expression of NOS and of NO sensitivity (Scholz et al., 1998). Scholz et al. concluded that the NO/cGMP pathway participates in the developmental maturation of neural circuits in the accessory lobes, higher order processing centers in the olfactory pathway. Studies in adult crayfish suggest that, as in insects and mammals, NO is strongly expressed in the olfactory centers of the brain (Johansson and Carlberg, 1994; Johansson and Mellon, 1998).

Our primary interest is in the development and maturation of olfactory centers in the crustacean brain, and in the life-long production of neurons in the olfactory pathway. Because NO appears to be important in mechanisms underlying both olfaction and neurogenesis in a variety of species, we investigated the role of this molecule in the olfactory system in the American lobster, Homarus americanus. The goal of the present study is to describe NO-associated pathways in the embryonic and adult crustacean brain, and to define potential roles for NO. We have used immunocytochemical techniques to identify and describe putative sources of NO (NOS immunoreactivity) in the embryonic and adult brain. The NO donor sodium nitroprusside (SNP) in combination with isobutylmethylxanthine (IBMX; which blocks cGMP turnover by phosphodiesterases) were used to increase cGMP levels in NO-targets, and cGMP was then labeled immunocytochemically. To reveal possible functional roles of NO in the brain, levels of NO were manipulated using the NO donor S-Nitroso-N-acetyl-D,L-penicillamine (SNAP) and the NOS inhibitor NG-Nitro-L-arginine (L-NAME) at various times during embryonic development; structural and chemical changes in the lobster brain were then documented. We also asked whether the rate of neurogenesis in the embryonic brain is influenced by NO levels. Finally, the lateral flagella of the antennules of juvenile lobsters containing the olfactory receptor neurons were ablated, and changes in NO expression in the olfactory pathway were documented, as were levels of neurogenesis among the olfactory projection neurons.

The results of this study provide an overall view of the changing location of NOS during embryonic development and its final distribution in the adult brain, as well as identifying presumptive target areas where cGMP was up-regulated in response to increased levels of NO. Our data suggest a role for NO in (1) establishing the structural integrity of olfactory glo-meruli during development, (2) synaptic neurogenesis in the accessory lobe, (3) the regulation of neurogenesis, and (4) the neural response to injury.

RESULTS

NOS and cGMP Localization Studies

Distribution and sequence of appearance of NOS in the embryonic and adult brain.

We defined the temporal and spatial patterns of expression of NOS in the embryonic lobster brain by assessing the distribution of NOS immunoreactivity at several developmental stages, beginning at E40% (where E0% is the time of fertilization, and E100% is hatching) and continuing until just before hatching (Table 1). These localization patterns can be correlated with specific developmental characteristics in the brain (Fig. 1). At E40%, for example, the anlagen of the accessory lobes (ALs) have just emerged; the olfactory lobes (OLs), whose primordia first appeared at
E10–E15%, are well established and the OL glomeruli begin to form at E45% (Helluy and Beltz, 1991; Beltz et al., 1992; Helluy et al., 1993). Mid-embryonic life is characterized by the elaboration and growth of various brain structures, until ~E85% when the animal enters a developmental plateau (Helluy and Beltz, 1991). Throughout this period, the eyes and body do not grow even when animals are reared at constant temperature, and neurogenesis undergoes a precipitous decline as the neuroblasts die (Harzsch et al., 1999; Beltz and Sandeman, 2003). While brain growth then continues after hatching due to the elaboration of fibers and addition of glia, neurogenesis resumes only in restricted areas in the olfactory (deutocerebral cell clusters 9 and 10) and optic pathways (Harzsch et al., 1999; Sullivan and Beltz, 2005).

In the embryonic brain, NOS immunoreactivity is found in the cytoplasm of neuronal cell bodies, as well as in fiber tracts and neuropil (synaptic) regions. As embryogenesis progresses, NOS immunoreactivity gradually becomes more intense and the sites of labeling more widespread, eventually including structures throughout the brain by the embryonic plateau (~E85%; Table 1). At E40%, NOS immunoreactivity is most pronounced in protocerebral and tritocerebral regions of the brain, although the intensity of labeling is relatively faint and variable (Table 1). In the tritocerebrum, two pairs of cell bodies in clusters 15/16 label consistently, but are less intense than at later stages. In the protocerebrum, punctate labeling is found in the central body and protocerebral bridge, as well as the anterior and posterior median protocerebral neuropils (AMPN, PMPN). In contrast, there is an absence of staining in clusters 6, 8, and 9, the frontal (naupliar) eye (Eloffson, 2006), and in longitudinal medial fiber tracts. How-

### TABLE 1. Localization of NOS in the Brains of Adult and Embryonic Lobsters*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of development →</th>
<th>E40%</th>
<th>E60%</th>
<th>E68%</th>
<th>E75%</th>
<th>E85%</th>
<th>E95%</th>
<th>Adult</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protocerebrum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal eye</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 6 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPN/ lateral cluster</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial longitudinal fibers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 8 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 9 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deutocerebrum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC interneurons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL glomeruli</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL glomeruli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibers entering AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibers in OL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 10 cells</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGT punctate staining</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGN axon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGN soma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large cells in cluster 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tritocerebrum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 15/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 17</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnN II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibers / cells in the CEG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 6, n = 5, n = 6, n = 6, n = 6, n = 2, n = 4

*E is percentage embryonic development where 0% is the time of fertilization and 100% is hatching. Three regions within the brain are distinguished: protocerebrum (anterior regions), deutocerebrum (midbrain regions), and tritocerebrum (posterior regions). The intensity of NOS labeling in neuropil structures, cell clusters, and individual cells and fibers is subjectively rated on a relative scale: (−) = no labeling observed; (+−) = weak and variable; (+) = consistent and strong; (+++) = intense. Embryonic NOS labeling reaches its widest distribution throughout the brain and most intense level at E85% (boxed scores), whereas NOS is found primarily in the olfactory pathway (boxed scores) in the adult brain. The number of brains assessed per stage is indicated at the bottom of each column. For abbreviations, see list.
ever, by E70–E75%, NOS immunoreactivity is much more extensive and culminates in maximal labeling at E85%; at that time, intensely labeled structures include fibers in clusters 6 (Table 1; Fig. 2A,B,E) and 8, neuropil in the lateral lobes of the PMPN (Fig. 2G), and longitudinal tracts that extend on the dorsal surface of the brain from the protocerebrum to the esophageal connectives (Fig. 2F). In the tritocerebrum, neurons in clusters 15/16 and 17 label lightly by E40%; the consistency and intensity of staining increases in these regions as development progresses (Table 1). In cell clusters 15/16 at E85%, several large cells label, and some of these appear as pairs within the cluster (Fig. 2F, arrowheads). At least one fiber emerging from CL 15/16 consistently labels and projects into the OL (Fig. 2G, arrow), and ascending NOS-immunoreactive fibers from these clusters also innervate the OGTN. There is a distinct decrease in NOS labeling in most brain areas, with the exception of the tritocerebrum, during the period just before hatching. Notably, NOS labeling is absent throughout embryonic development in the lateral antennular and antenna II neuropils (LAN, AnN II) and in the emerging ALs (Table 1).

NOS immunoreactivity in most deutocerebral regions in the embryo appears later relative to protocerebral and tritocerebral areas, although it is in the deutocerebrum that the most intense staining persists during adult life (Table 1; Fig. 3). Immunoreactivity in the deutocerebrum becomes consistent and intense during the developmental plateau (Table 1, E85%). At that time, labeling is observed in tracts, cells, and synaptic regions associated with olfactory processing (i.e., the olfactory globular tract [OGT]; Fig. 2C) and its neuropil (the OGTN; Fig. 2C, asterisk), fibers and glomeruli primarily in the cortex of the OL (Fig. 2B,C), and fibers emerging from cell cluster 10 (Fig. 2C). By adult life, staining in these areas is intense and includes labeling in the DC interneurons of cluster 11 (Fig. 3A,C, red circle), DCN, especially the anterolateral half (Fig. 3C, red asterisk) and DC (Fig. 3A,C). Individual fibers from the DC project into the AL and innervate single AL glomeruli (Fig. 3B,Bi). The dorsal giant neuron (DGN), a serotonergic neuron (Fig. 3E) that innervates both the OLs and ALs, also labels for NOS (Fig. 3D); however, while staining for serotonin is consistently strong, NOS labeling is highly variable in this neuron. Whether this variability is associated with time of day, neuronal activity, or behavior is not known. Glomeruli in the accessory lobe, which do not label during embryonic life, stain intensely in the adult brain (Fig. 3B arrows, F arrowheads). The intensity of staining is not evenly distributed throughout the AL, but is often confined to a subset of glomeruli that can be clustered (Fig. 3B) or distributed throughout the AL. The bases of the cylindrical AL cortical glomeruli (Helluy et al., 1996) label consistently (Fig. 3F, arrow). As in the E85% embryos, the primary neurites of olfactory projection neurons (cluster 10; Fig. 3G, arrow) and their branches in the OLs and ALs (Fig. 3G, arrowhead) are intensely labeled. The antenna II neuropil and LAN also contain faint NOS immunoreactivity in the adult brain.

**Distribution of cGMP-like immunoreactivity.**

In an effort to visualize cGMP-dependent targets of the NOS-immunoreactive cells, antibodies were used to localize cGMP immunocytochemically. However, the only sites labeled for cGMP in the embryonic brain are a subset of cells that compose the frontal eye (Fig. 4Ai) in the protocerebrum. To increase the likelihood of
identifying cGMP-dependent NO targets in embryos, levels of NO and cGMP were up-regulated in vitro using IBMX and SNP. When IBMX and SNP are combined in the incubation medium to maximize cGMP levels, cell bodies in clusters 11 and 15/16 (Fig. 4Aiii) label, as well as several fiber tracts: (1) a commissure caudal to the PMPN that projects between cluster 6 and tritocerebral cell clusters 15/16 (Fig. 4Aiii, arrow); (2) fibers from the eyestalk that end in cluster 8 (Fig. 4Aiii); (3) a tract that arborizes within the CB (seen also in the adult brain, Fig. 4Bii); (4) a set of cGMP-labeled fibers extending medially between cluster 6 and tritocerebral cell clusters 15/16 (Fig. 4Aiii, arrow); (5) a fan-shaped collection of labeled fibers in the deutocerebrum, just medial to cluster 11 (Fig. 4Aiii, double arrow); elements from this region project in the connectives to the commissural ganglia (Fig. 4Aiii; also in adults see Biii). The AMPN, PMPN, and LAN also label for cGMP in the embryonic brain. There is no cGMP labeling in the olfactory and accessory lobes, DC, and OGT in embryos.

There is no cGMP labeling in the adult brain in the absence of SNP and IBMX. However, when adult brains are incubated in these pharmacological agents, cGMP labeling is found in what appear to be (1) derivatives of the frontal eye (Fig. 4Bi), (2) AMPN (Fig. 4Bii), (3) PMPN, (4) LAN, (5) fibers projecting between cluster 6 and the tritocerebrum, and (6) cell bodies in clusters 15/16 (Fig. 4Biii). Cells in cluster 11 that labeled in embryonic brains do not label in the adult, suggesting that this staining is related to a developmental function.

Developmental Manipulations

From our localization studies, we know that NOS is found in the output pathway of those cluster 10 neurons that reside closest to the accessory lobe. This particular area of cluster 10 houses the proliferation zone where new cluster 10 neurons are born throughout life (Harzsch et al., 1999). NOS also is found in OL and AL glomeruli at specific times in development and in the midbrains of adult lobsters, suggesting that NOS plays a role in the developing olfactory pathway. We therefore tested the influence of embryonic NO levels on neurogenesis and glomerular formation and stabilization. Specifically, we asked whether the rate of neurogenesis responds differently to NO manipulations at various stages of embryonic development. Changes in NO levels were timed to coincide with particular developmental events in the lobster
brain, such as the period when olfactory glomeruli form, or the early growth of the AL (see Fig. 1; Helluy et al., 1993). The production of new neurons was assayed using 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (BrdU) labeling methods. Morphological changes in the brain were assessed using immunocytochemical labeling for synapsin and/or serotonin.

**Regulation of NO levels and neurogenesis.**

SNAP and L-NAA were used to up- and down-regulate NO levels, respectively, at several embryonic stages and corresponding levels of neurogenesis assessed in cluster 10 during those periods. Incubation in SNAP for 3 days at E40% decreases neurogenesis in cluster 10 relative to control embryos incubated in saline alone; L-NAA treatment for 3 days increases the rate of neurogenesis (Fig. 5A). This finding was also true in older embryos incubated in L-NAA for 2 weeks at E75–E85%, where L-NAA treatment caused an increase in the numbers of BrdU-labeled cells in cluster 10 (Fig. 5B), again indicating that NO is an inhibitor of neurogenesis in this system.

Longer incubations in these compounds were attempted to examine the influence of NO on neurogenesis during specific developmental events. For instance, embryos were incubated in SNAP and L-NAA from E45–E70%, which includes the period when olfactory glomeruli begin to form. The question here was whether the formation of the olfactory glomeruli would be delayed, advanced, or disrupted in any way by changes in NO levels. However, the embryos were particularly sensitive to prolonged treatment with SNAP and L-NAA during this early period, and animals began to deteriorate and die within a few days of the beginning of treatment, even when SNAP and L-NAA levels were reduced.

**Influence of NO levels on morphogenesis in the olfactory pathway.**

When NO levels are manipulated during E75–E85%, there are several major morphological changes in the brain, mostly in the deutocerebrum. Down-regulation of NO with L-NAA results in clear and significant changes in the OLs and ALs: the olfactory glomeruli, which begin to develop at E40% and increase in number until the end of larval life (Helluy et al., 1996), lose their characteristic form (Fig. 6). We used antibodies raised against synapsin, a protein found in synapses that is important in the process of transmitter mobilization (Rosahl et al., 1995), to explore the effect of NO down-regulation on the morphogenesis of the crustacean olfactory glomeruli because we suspect that the period of synaptogenesis coincides with glomerular formation (Oland and Tolbert, 1996; see also Fig. 7).

The lobster olfactory lobes first label for synapsin during the mid-embryonic period when glomeruli begin to appear (E40–E45%; Helluy et al., 1996). However, when L-NAA treatment is ap-
plied at E75–E85%, the tissue condensations associated with glomeruli in the olfactory lobes disappear, although an evenly distributed punctate synapsin labeling persists throughout the OL (Fig. 6B).

Glomerular formation in the accessory lobes occurs much later than in the olfactory lobes, during larval, not embryonic life (Helluy et al., 1996). Nevertheless, as in the olfactory lobe, the onset of synapsin labeling in the accessory lobes also coincides with the first evidence of glomerular formation during the transition from the first to the second larval stage, and is intense by the fourth postembryonic stage (Fig. 7A–D). Unexpectedly, however, ALs in embryos treated with L-NAA at E85% to reduce NO levels exhibit precocious and intense labeling for synapsin (Fig. 8Bii).

Synapsin labeling in the OLs and ALs of untreated E85% embryos shows that labeling in the OLs is normally approximately 3 times more intense than in the ALs (Fig. 8Aii). Following a 2-week L-NAA treatment (E75–E85%), the intensity of synapsin labeling in the AL is similar to, or even greater, than that in the OL (Fig. 8Bi). It appears that lowered NO levels accelerate some aspects of accessory lobe development, but not glomerular formation.

Down-regulation of NO with L-NAA treatment for 2 weeks (at E75–E85%), also increases the intensity of serotonin immunolabeling in the DGN, and increases the numbers of serotonin-immunoreactive cells around...
the DGN in cell cluster 11. These cells have been noted in earlier studies (Benton and Beltz, 2001a), but do not label reliably for serotonin in lobsters reared in laboratory conditions. Serotonin labeling within the olfactory and accessory lobes, however, decreases in intensity after L-NAA treatment.

**NO and Response to Injury**

**Antennule ablation: increases in NOS labeling in the OGT.**

The data reported above have resulted from pharmacological treatments of lobster embryos with NOS inhibitors and NO donors. How might such changes in NO levels occur in the normal events and physiological changes during a lobster’s life? One insight into how NO levels may be altered in vivo comes from studies where NOS labeling in the brain was assessed over a month-long period following unilateral antennule ablation. Damage or loss of the antennules, which contain the cell bodies and axons of the primary olfactory receptor neurons, can occur under natural conditions in these animals, and it is known that following antennule ablation in juvenile crayfish, the initial response is a reduction in the volume of the ipsilateral olfactory lobe due to the death of olfactory afferents. This size reduction is also associated with an increase in the numbers of apoptotic profiles among the local (cluster 11) and projection (cluster 10) neurons, resulting in an overall decrease in numbers of interneurons in the ol-
factory pathway, and then later in time an increase that re-establishes the original number of interneurons (Sandeman et al., 1998).

We labeled NOS in the brains of juvenile lobsters at intervals following unilateral antennule ablation. Four hours after ablation, the OGT and cells in clusters 9, 10, and 11 exhibit an increase in the intensity of NOS labeling; 24 hr after ablation, the general level of staining for NOS is even more intense, such that on the ablated side the AL and cluster 10 neurons are uniformly labeled (Fig. 9B). NOS labeling in the OGT in unablated juvenile animals is only detectable as it emerges from cluster 10 (as also is seen in the brains of embryos and adults; Figs. 2C, 3G), but is not visible in the more medial parts of the brain (Table 1). However, at 48 hr after unilateral ablation the OGT labels on both sides of the brain, consistent with the bilateral projection of the cluster 10 axons in this tract (Fig. 9C, arrow).

**Antennular ablation influences levels of neurogenesis in juvenile lobsters.**

Our studies in embryos where NO levels were manipulated and BrdU incorporation in cluster 10 cells was assessed, demonstrated that increased levels of NO result in decreased levels of neurogenesis (Fig. 5). Therefore, the increase in NOS labeling in the olfactory pathway following antennular ablation would be expected to correlate with decreased levels of neurogenesis among the cluster 10 neurons.

To test this possibility, we ablated the lateral flagella of both antennules in juvenile lobsters and 1 week later incubated these animals in BrdU. We found a significant decrease ($P < 0.0001$) in the numbers of BrdU-labeled profiles in the cluster 10 proliferation zones of the antennule-ablated animals. This result is consistent with the idea that NOS levels increase after antennular ablation in the olfactory pathway, and, either directly or indirectly, suppress neurogenesis among the olfactory projection neurons.

**DISCUSSION**

**NOS and cGMP Localization**

The distribution of NOS immunoreactivity in the lobster brain is distinctive for each embryonic stage that has been examined. The sequence of labeling and its spatial distribution suggest a requirement for NO early in development in the protocerebrum and tritocerebrum, and in the deutocerebrum later in embryonic development. Although intense NOS labeling in the deutocerebrum is a relatively late embryonic event, it is in these areas that NOS persists throughout juvenile and adult life. The intense staining of deutocerebral regions associated with the olfactory pathway is consistent with reports that NOS is concentrated in the primary olfactory centers in many species (Broillet and Firestein, 1996; Gelperin et al., 1996).

The onset of intense and widespread labeling in the brain at ~E85% coincides with the embryonic developmental plateau (Helluy and Beltz, 1991). This period is characterized by a lack of growth in both the eye index and the cephalothoracic length, and is equivalent to stage D0 of the metanaupliar molt cycle that occurs during embryonic life. Molt cycles in postembryonic crustaceans are under hormonal (ecdysteroid) control, and it is likely that embryonic molts are regulated in a similar way. NO levels in the embryo may, therefore, be regulated by circulating hormones that coordinate the expansion of NOS expression with the ongoing molt cycle and impending eclosion. Evidence from insect systems also suggests that ecdysteroids are involved in regulating neurogenesis by means of an NO signaling pathway (Champlin and Truman, 2000).

NOS is not found in the accessory lobe, a higher order processing area in the deutocerebrum, until postembryonic stages. The accessory lobes un-
dergo a pronounced increase in size during larval and early postlarval development (Helluy et al., 1995). In adult lobsters and crayfish, the ALs are composed of discrete glomeruli that are segregated into a cortex that is primarily concerned with integrating olfactory inputs, and a medullary region that processes visual and mechanosensory information (Sandelman et al., 1995; Sullivan and Beltz, 2005). In contrast to the embryonic formation of glomeruli in the olfactory lobes, glomerular formation in the accessory lobes is delayed until mid-larval life (Helluy et al., 1993, 1995). We have proposed (Helluy et al., 1996) that glomerular formation in the accessory lobes may be contingent on patterns of activity in visual, mechanosensory, and olfactory interneurons projecting to this area. Such activity may depend upon primary sensory processing areas as they respond to the first environmental input after hatching. If so, the coincidence of NOS labeling in the postembryonic accessory lobe glomeruli (Scholz et al., 1998) may be related to the relationships between these inputs and the formation and maturation of the accessory lobe glomeruli. Intense labeling of a selection of accessory lobe glomeruli is a feature of the adult brain, although which glomeruli and how many stain is highly variable. There appear to be at least three sources of NOS found in the glomeruli: (1) DC neurons that project to accessory lobe glomeruli; (2) fibers of olfactory projection neurons, which are intensely labeled as they emerge from cluster 10 and project into the AL; and (3) the DGNs, which project ipsilaterally to the OLs and ALs and have fibers that innervate each and every glomerulus in these two regions (Sandelman and Sandeman, 1987; Benton and Beltz, 2001a). We have found that serotonin and NOS are colocalized in the DGNs. However, while the serotonin labeling is routinely intense, NOS labeling is highly variable, suggesting an intermittent expression of this enzyme.

The presence of cGMP labeling following treatment with an NO donor and IBMX suggests that, in many parts of the lobster brain, NO acts by means of a cGMP-mediated pathway. However, in the olfactory pathway, the absence of cGMP labeling in the olfactory and accessory lobes suggests that NO action in these areas is accomplished by means of a different signaling pathway. In *Manduca sexta*, NO is found in the olfactory receptor axons that innervate the primary olfactory area, the antennal lobes (Gibson and Nighorn, 2000; Gibson et al., 2001). However, as in lobsters, cGMP production in moths is not induced in the antennal lobes by NO donors in combination with IBMX. Evidence in *M. sexta* suggests that soluble guanylate cyclase does not serve as the NO receptor in the antennal lobes, but that ADP-ribosylation may serve as the effector pathway instead (Gibson et al., 2001). Alternative downstream effectors of NO signaling in the lobster olfactory pathway have not yet been explored.

These studies, in combination with those of Scholz et al. (1998) on lobster larvae, suggest at least three specific roles for NO in the lobster brain: (1) interacting with the serotonergic system, (2) regulating levels of neurogenesis, and (3) directing specific morphogenetic changes. In addition, we would propose that NO has more general developmental roles, because NOS is localized in different brain regions at different developmental stages, and that the intensity of labeling waxes and wanes from one period to another.

**Fig. 9.** Levels of NOS in the olfactory pathway of juvenile lobsters increase after antennular ablation, while neurogenesis in cluster 10 decreases. A: Intense NOS labeling is found in the AL and OGTN in brains of juvenile lobsters with intact antennules. B: Twenty-four hours following unilateral ablation of the lateral antennular flagellum, intense NOS immunoreactivity is observed in the AL, OL, cell bodies and fibers of CL 10 neurons, and some cell bodies in cluster 11. C: By 48 hr following ablation, NOS staining has extended bilaterally in the OGT (arrow). D: In experiments where the lateral flagella of both antennules were ablated, corresponding changes in 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation in CL 10 were documented at 7 days after ablation. These counts revealed a 30% decrease in BrdU-labeled cells in the antennular-ablated lobsters compared with the unabluded controls. Student t-tests reveal significant differences (P < 0.0001) between the control and antennular-ablated groups. For abbreviations, see list. Scale bars = 100 μm in A,B; 50 μm in C.

**Links between NO, Serotonin, and Neurogenesis**

The increases in soma labeling intensities and altered morphologies of serotonergic cells following NOS downregulation by L-NAA, as well as the colocalization of NO with serotonin in the DGNs, suggest that the nitrergic
and serotonergic systems in the lobster brain are linked. A close relationship between these two systems has been noted before by Gibson et al. (2001), who observed that dendrites of an identified serotonergic neuron grew beyond their normal range following a reduction in NO levels. NO also regulates the release of serotonin from the hypophthalmus in rats (Kaehler et al., 1999). Interactions in the opposite direction also have been documented by Ramos et al. (2002) and Tagliaferro et al. (2003), who found that serotonin depletion caused alterations in the nitrergic system in rats. Evidence from several systems, therefore, supports the conclusion that there is a strong interaction between nitrergic and serotonergic systems, and that this relationship may be bidirectional.

As a result of the apparent interdependence of the nitrergic and serotonergic systems, it is difficult to separate the direct effects of NO from potential indirect influences of NO by means of a serotonin-mediated pathway. For example, we believe that the DGN is one source of serotonin that stimulates neurogenesis (Beltz et al., 2001; Sullivan et al., 2007). We also have demonstrated in the present study that decreased levels of NO in embryos are associated with increased neurogenesis. Decreased levels of NO (through NOS inhibition) causes a significant increase in serotonin labeling in the soma of the DGN. Therefore, the increased level of neurogenesis seen after NOS inhibition due to a direct effect of NO on the machinery producing new neurons, or is this effect due to an increase in serotonin levels that are responsible for regulating neurogenesis? If NO and serotonin act independently to alter neurogenesis, these two neuroactive compounds may provide a push–pull mechanism for up- and down-regulating the speed of the cell cycle and consequent neuronal production. Regardless of the specific mechanism, the colocalization of NOS and serotonin in the DGN leads to the possibility that the DGN can alter the rate of neurogenesis, depending upon the relative concentrations of the two substances and the timing of their release.

In contrast to our data that support a role for NO in suppressing neurogenesis, Cayre et al. (2005) have found that NO has a stimulatory effect on mushroom body neuroblast proliferation. Furthermore, they show that neural activity regulates NO production, as does environmental enrichment. Their data, therefore, also suggest a key role for NO in neuronal proliferation, but the direction of the influence is opposite to what has been observed in lobsters and most vertebrates, where increases in NO tend to suppress neuronal proliferation (Matarredona et al., 2005; Ciani et al., 2006; Romero-Grimaldi et al., 2006).

## NO and Morphogenesis

Two observations suggest that nitric oxide is involved in morphogenesis in the deutocerebrum, and specifically in the olfactory pathway, during embryonic life. Down-regulating NO in mid- to late embryonic life results in a dissolution of the olfactory glomeruli that had begun to form at E45%. Concomitantly, synapsin labeling, which normally would not occur until larval life in the accessory lobes, appears precociously in these regions. Both results suggest that NO may be involved in the formation of glomeruli and synapses, and in the coordination of these two processes. These data are reminiscent of the studies of Gibson et al. (2001), where NOS is expressed in the axons of the olfactory receptor neurons projecting to all antennal lobe glomeruli. In M. sexta, normal glomerularization depends upon the ingrowth of the olfactory receptor axons that form protoglomeruli, an event that is followed by migration of glia that encircle the protoglomeruli. When NO levels are reduced using a competitive inhibitor of NOS (L-NAME) during the period of active ingrowth of the sensory axons to the antennal lobes, glomerular development is abnormal; this finding appears to be due to the failure of neuropil-associated glial cells in the antennal lobe to migrate, suggesting that NO in the receptor cells triggers glial cell migration. NO also appears to limit the arborization of serotonergic neurons in the antennal neuropil (Gibson et al., 2001).

Our finding that NOS inhibition during mid- to late embryonic life results in the dissolution of emerging olfactory lobe glomeruli suggests once again that NO is involved in signaling that underlies morphogenesis in these primary olfactory processing areas, although the cellular mechanisms underlying this effect are not known. It is possible that NO regulation of serotonin levels and arborization of serotonergic cells may contribute to these influences. However, in prior studies where serotonin levels were reduced for extended periods in lobster embryos, olfactory glomeruli formed at the expected time and appeared to be normal for that developmental stage in terms of number, size, and general organization as assessed at the light-microscopic level (Benton et al., 1997). It follows, therefore, that the change in glomerular morphology induced by L-NAA treatment in embryos is the result of the alteration in NO levels directly, or indirectly by downstream effectors, but is not mediated by a serotonergic pathway.

The appearance of strong synapsin labeling in the accessory lobe following NOS inhibition also suggests an intimate connection between NO and synaptogenesis. During the normal development of the lobster, the late embryonic period is characterized by a developmental plateau during which growth ceases. The plateau period can be of variable length, and it is thought that environmental stimuli are responsible for triggering the end of the plateau period and resumption of growth and development. During this plateau, neurogenesis slows or stops (Harzsch et al., 1999) and does not resume until after hatching and then only in restricted regions in the brain. That NOS expression intensifies during the plateau period suggests functions for NOS in the maturation of circuits that is presumably occurring during this time. That NOS inhibition accelerates the timing of synapsin immunoreactivity in the accessory lobe indicates that normal NOS signaling may suppress aspects of synaptic development, which normally occur during larval life and are coordinated with the formation of glomeruli in this area.

Overall, the influences of NOS on glomerular development in the olfactory lobe, synapsin expression in the accessory lobe and neurogenesis suggest that NO is important in coordi-
nating these processes. Normal levels of NO in the deutocerebrum during the late embryonic plateau period presumably suppress neurogenesis as well as synapsin appearance in the accessory lobes, while permitting or promoting the development of the olfactory glomeruli. These types of influences for NO fit into an existing large literature of similar developmental influences in a wide range of organisms.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animals**

Lobster eggs (*Homarus americanus*) were obtained from the New England Aquarium Lobster Rearing Facility (Boston, MA), adult lobsters from a local fish market. At Wellesley College, lobsters of all stages were maintained in recirculating artificial seawater at 14°C in a 12/12 light/dark cycle. Throughout these studies, embryos were staged using the Perkins eye index and other morphological criteria, where E0% is the time of fertilization and E100% is hatching (Helluy and Beltz, 1991).

**Immunocytochemical Protocols**

Brains and nerve cords were dissected in cold lobster saline (462 mM NaCl, 26 mM CaCl₂, 8 mM MgCl₂, 11.11 mM glucose, and 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4). Preparations were fixed in either 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 12–24 hr, or for NO labeling in ice-cold 90% methanol/10% formalin fixative (Sigma) for 15 min (similar to Ott and Elphick, 2002). After fixation and then rinsing in 0.1 M phosphate buffer + 0.3% Triton (PBTx), standard immunocytochemical methods were used to localize several antigens. Brains from adult lobsters were processed through the same steps as embryonic and juvenile tissue whole-mounts, except that after fixation and rinsing they were embedded in 6% Noble Agar and 100 μm sections produced by a Vibratome.

Primary antibodies diluted in PBTx were applied to tissues for a minimum of 16 hr at 4°C. Preparations were double-labeled with (a to c): a. Rabbit anti-uNOS (1:200; universal NOS, Antibody Sciences, Boston, MA), adult antigens. Brains from adult lobsters were processed through the same steps as embryonic and juvenile tissue whole-mounts, except that after fixation and rinsing they were embedded in 6% Noble Agar and 100 μm sections produced by a Vibratome.

**Regulation of Endogenous NO Levels to Test Neurogenesis**

Three groups of embryos at E40% were treated for 36 hr with: (1) the NOS inhibitor L-NAA (@ 1 × 10⁻⁵ M in lobster saline, Axcor, No. ALX-420-003; final n = 6/assay); or (3) served as controls and were incubated in lobster saline alone (n = 6/assay). Treatments were refreshed twice daily to compensate for the half-life properties of the inhibitors and donors when in solution. At the end of the treatment periods embryos were staged, dissected, and processed immunocytochemically as described for colocalization or BrdU labeling.

A second NO regulation experiment that used more advanced embryos (E75%) also was conducted. Treatments with L-NAA or SNAP continued for 2 weeks with final dissections at E85%. Controls were incubated in lobster saline. Although this experiment also tested several different concentrations of SNAP, the embryos treated with this NO-donor experienced high mortality levels; hence, this treatment was discontinued, and data are not included.

**BrdU Methods**

Cells in S phase were identified by in vivo incorporation of the substitute nucleotide BrdU (Sigma; No.B5002). Eggs (E40% or E85%) were incubated in saline, or saline with SNAP (10⁻⁷ M in lobster saline, 14°C) or L-NAA (10⁻⁵ M in lobster saline, 14°C) for 36 hr or 2 weeks, respectively. Brains were then dissected, rinsed in phosphate buffer, treated with 2 N HCl, rinsed with PBTx, and incubated for 2.5 hr in mouse anti-BrdU antibody conjugated to Alexa 488 (1:20; Invitrogen Carlsbad, CA, No. A21303; n = 9). Brains were mounted as previously described.

BrdU-labeled specimens were assessed using a Leica TCS SP confocal microscope. Optical sections were taken at intervals of 0.5 μm or 1 μm and saved as three-dimensional stacks. BrdU-labeled cell profiles in cluster 10 in each optical section in the stacked series were traced onto a transparent sheet attached to the monitor and then counted. Data are presented as mean counts ± SD. Comparisons between control and treatment groups were performed by using Student’s t-test or an analysis of variance as appropriate. A value of P <
0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Semiqualitative Assessment of Synapsin Levels**

To define the magnitude of change in synapsin labeling in the olfactory (OL) and accessory (AL) lobes in response to NOS inhibition, the intensity of synapsin labeling in these regions was assessed semiquantitatively. Whole-mounted brains from control and L-NAA–treated embryos (E75–E85%; see above) that had been labeled immunocytochemically for synapsin were scanned with a Leica TCS SP confocal microscope after setting the laser intensities to fixed levels, and the images were analyzed using Leica software (Heidelberg, GmbH). The brightness of regions of interest (ROI), defined as 10-μm circles throughout the OLs and ALs, were determined using the stack profile analysis tool. Four ROIs per lobe were assigned to the most densely labeled areas of both the olfactory and accessory lobes. After the analysis tool was applied, one curve per ROI through the stack of images for each of the ROIs was displayed in a two-dimensional graph showing the median intensity of each ROI throughout the stacked image. The calculated values range from 0 to 255, corresponding to the intensity distribution statistics of the confocal image for every pixel in the ROI. The data also were imported to Excel software, where the mean average intensity for the ALs and OLs in all brains analyzed were computed with standard deviations and graphed over the z-axis, which was defined by 1-μm sections through the depth of each OL and AL (see Fig. 8).

**Antennular Ablations and BrdU Assays**

To test whether NOS localization is altered by damage, the lateral antennular flagella of juvenile lobsters (seventh stage) were ablated unilaterally, and bilaterally to assess levels of BrdU incorporation in cluster 10. Brains were dissected and assayed immunocytochemically for NOS at 4, 24, and 48 hr after unilateral ablation. To examine levels of neurogenesis in cluster 10, lobsters were incubated in BrdU (2 mg/ml sea water; n = 5) for 4 hr, 7 days after the ablations. Unablated animals of the same size were used as controls (n = 5). Standard immunocytochemical methods were used for the detection of the BrdU (see above).
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